## Kumari Bank Limited

## Disclosure Under Basel III as at 12 April 2024

( Third Quarter for F/Y 2023/24)

Capital Structure and Capital Adequacy

Tier I Capital and breakdown of its components

|  | Particular | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Tier 1 Capital [Core Capital (CET 1 + AT 1)] |  | 28,402,488,437 |
| Common Equity Tier 1 (CET 1) |  | 28,402,488,437 |
| a | Paid up Equity Share Capital | 26,225,861,340 |
| b | Equity Share Premium |  |
| c | Proposed Bonus Equity Shares |  |
| d | Statutory General Reserves | 6,019,507,575 |
| e | Retained Earnings | (5,258,023,844) |
| f | Unaudited current year cumulative profit/(loss) | 1,052,794,525 |
| g | Capital Redemption Reserve | - |
| h | Capital Adjustment Reserve | 86,318,955 |
| i | Debenture Redemption Reserve | 1,333,333,335 |
| j | Dividend Equalization Reserves | - |
| k | Other Free Reserve | 109,074,522 |
|  | Less: Goodwill | 109,074,522 |
|  | Less: Intangible Assets | 116,014,022 |
|  | Less: Deferred Tax Assets | - |
|  | Less: Fictitious Assets | - |
|  | Less: Investment in equity of institutions with financial interests | 660,000,000 |
|  | Less: Investment in equity of institutions in excess of limits | - |
|  | Less: Purchase of land \& building in excess of limit and unutilized | 5,100,750 |
|  | Less: Negative Balances of reserve accounts | 267,681,517 |
| Adjustments under Pillar II |  | - |
| SRP 6.4a( Less:Shortfall in Provision(6.4 a 1) |  | - |
| Less: Loans and Facilities extended to related parties and restricted SRP 6.4a( lending |  | 8,507,160 |
| Additional Tier 1 (AT1) |  | - |

Tier II Capital and breakdown of its component

|  | Particular | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| a | Cumulative and/or Redeemable Preference Share | - |
| b | Subordinated Term Debt | $9,000,000,000$ |
| c | Hybrid Capital Instruments | - |
| d | Stock Premium | - |
| e | General Loan Loss Provision | $3,992,356,094$ |
| f | Exchange Equalization Reserve | $70,844,298$ |
| g | Investment Adjustment Reserve | - |
| h | Other Reserves | - |
|  | Total Tier II Capital | $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 6 3 , 2 0 0 , 3 9 2}$ |
| Total Capital Fund (Tier I and Tier II) | $\mathbf{4 1 , 4 6 5 , 6 8 8 , 8 2 9}$ |  |

Details of Subordinated Term Debt
The bank has issued RS 3,000.00 million 10.25\% Kumari Bank Debenture 2086 in fiscal year 2076-77
The main features of the debenture are as follows
Issue Year : FY 2076-77
Maturity Year : FY 2086-77
Issue Amount : NPR 3,000,000,000.00
Interest Rate : 10.25\% per annum
Interest Payment : half yearly

The bank has issued RS 3,000.00 million 11\% Kumari Bank Debenture 2089 in fiscal year 2079-80
The main features of the debenture are as follows
Issue Year : FY 2079-80
Maturity Year : FY 2089-90
Issue Amount : NPR 3,000,000,000.00
Interest Rate : $11 \%$ per annum
Interest Payment : half yearly

The bank has issued RS 3,000.00 million 9.5\% NCC Bank Debenture 2086 in fiscal year 2079-80
The main features of the debenture are as follows
Issue Year : FY 2075-76
Maturity Year : FY 2085-86
Issue Amount : NPR 3,000,000,000.00
Interest Rate : $9.5 \%$ per annum
Interest Payment : half yearly

The bank has issued RS 5,000.00 million 10\% Kumari Bank Debenture 2090 ( KBLD90) in fiscal year 2079-80
The main features of the debenture are as follows
Issue Year : FY 2070-81
Maturity Year : FY 2080-90
Issue Amount : NPR 5,000,000,000.00
Interest Rate : $10 \%$ per annum
Interest Payment : Quarterly

## Deductions from Capital:

The bank does not hold any amount as stipulated in the Capital Adequacy Framework that qualifies for deduction from Capital

## Total Qualifying Capital

|  | Particular | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Common Equity Tier 1 (CET 1) | $28,402,488,437$ |
|  | Additional Tier 1 (AT1) | - |
|  | Supplementary Capital (Tier 2) | $13,063,200,392$ |
| Total Capital Fund |  | $\mathbf{4 1 , 4 6 5 , 6 8 8}, 829$ |

Capital Adequacy Ratios

| S.N. | Particular | Current Year (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| a | Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio | $8.03 \%$ |
| b | Core Capital Ratio - Tier 1 | $8.03 \%$ |
| c | Total Capital Adequacy Ratio (Tier 1 and Tier 2) | $11.72 \%$ |

## Risk Exposures

## Risk weighted exposures under each 11 categories of Credit Risk:

| S. No. | Particular | Amount |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | Claims on government and central bank | - |  |  |  |
| b | llaims on other official entities | - |  |  |  |
| c | Claims on banks | $3,930,232,751$ |  |  |  |
| d | Claims on Corporate and securities firms | $173,786,771,756$ |  |  |  |
| e | Regulatory Retail Portfolio | $42,472,903,888$ |  |  |  |
| f | Claims secured by residential properties | $6,787,952,398$ |  |  |  |
| g | Claims secured by Commercial real estate | $5,178,383,197$ |  |  |  |
| h | Past due claims | $6,968,587,612$ |  |  |  |
| i | High Risk claims | $25,518,071,345$ |  |  |  |
| j | Lending against securities (bonds \& shares) | $4,678,392,932$ |  |  |  |
| k | Trust Receipt Loans for Trading Firms | $1,458,193,196$ |  |  |  |
| l | Personal Hirepurchase/Personal Auto Loans (upto Rs. 2.5 Million) | $981,058,648$ |  |  |  |
| m | Personal Hirepurchase/Personal Auto Loans (above Rs. 2.5 Million) | $788,389,269$ |  |  |  |
| n | Other Assets | $16,865,793,510$ |  |  |  |
| o | Off Balance Sheet Exposures | $29,968,757,030$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{3 1 9 , 3 8 3 , 4 8 7 , 5 3 2}$ |
| Adjustments under Pillar II | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| SRP 6.4a(3) - Add 10\% of the loans \& facilities in excess of Single Obligor Limits to RWE |  |  |  |  |  |
| SRP 6.4a(4) - Add 1\% of the contract (sale) value in case of the sale of credit with re | $\mathbf{3 1 9 , 3 8 8 , 4 8 7 , 5 3 2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total RWE for Credit Risk after Bank's adjustments under Pillar II |  |  |  |  |  |

Risk Weighted Exposures for Credit Risk, Market Risk and Operational Risk

| S. No. | Particular | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Risk Weighted Exposure for Credit Risk | $319,388,487,532$ |
| 2 | Risk Weighted Exposure for Operational Risk | $17,220,924,049$ |
| 3 | Risk Weighted Exposure for Market Risk | $177,430,341$ |
|  | Total Risk Weighted Exposures | $\mathbf{3 3 6 , 7 8 6 , 8 4 1 , 9 2 2}$ |
|  | $\%$ of Total Deposit due to Insufficient Liquid Assets | - |
|  | Addition to RWE as per supervisiory review (4\%) | $3,563,552,700$ |
|  | Add: 3\% Capital Charge according to New Capital Adequacy Framework | $13,471,473,677$ |
|  | Total Risk Weighted Exposures | $\mathbf{3 5 3 , 8 2 1 , 8 6 8 , 2 9 9}$ |

Total Risk Weighted Exposure Calculation Table

| S. No. | Particular | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Risk Weighted Exposure for Credit Risk | $319,388,487,532$ |
| 2 | Risk Weighted Exposure for Operational Risk | $17,220,924,049$ |
| 3 | Risk Weighted Exposure for Market Risk | $177,430,341$ |
| 4 | Total Risk Weighted Exposures | $\mathbf{3 3 6 , 7 8 6 , 8 4 1 , 9 2 2}$ |
|  | $\%$ of Total Deposit due to Insufficient Liquid Assets | - |
|  | Addition to RWE as per supervisiory review (4\%) | $3,563,552,700$ |
|  | as per 6.4a7 | $13,471,473,677$ |
|  | Total Risk Weighted Exposures | $\mathbf{3 5 3 , 8 2 1 , 8 6 8 , 2 9 9}$ |
| 5 | Total Capital Fund | $\mathbf{4 1 , 4 6 5 , 6 8 8 , 8 2 9}$ |
| 6 | Capital Fund to Risk Weighted Exposure | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 2}$ |

Amount of Non Performing Loan (Gross and Net)

| S. NO. | Loan Type | Gross Amount | Provision | Net Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Restructure/Reschedule Loan |  |  |  |
| 2 | Substandard Loan | $417,234,770$ | - |  |
| 3 | Doubtful Loan | $1,480,609,356$ | $84,673,896$ |  |
| 4 | Loss Loan | $12,726,922,028$ | $332,560,874$ |  |

## NPA Ratios

| S. NO. | Particulars | (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Gross NPAs to Gross Advances | 4.95 |
| 2 | Net NPAs to Net Advances | 0.40 |

Movement of Non Performing Assets

| S. NO. | Particular | Previous Quarter | Current Quarter | Additional/(Write <br> back) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | Non Performing Loans | $14,621,378,294$ | $14,624,766,153$ | $3,387,859$ |

Write Off of Loan and Interest Suspense

| S. NO. | Particular | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Loan Write Off |  |
| 2 | Interest Suspense Write Off |  |

Movement of Loan Loss Provision and Interest Suspense

| S. NO. | Particular | Previous Quarter | Current Quarter | Additional |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Loan Loss Provision | $19,109,995,278$ | $19,887,603,466$ | $777,608,188$ |
| 2 | Interest Suspense | $12,021,032,783$ | $12,013,882,182$ | $(7,150,602)$ |

i. Details of additional loan loss provision

Current year's amount in Rs.

| S.N. | Loan Classification | Additional Provision |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Pass | $7,823,925$ |
| 2 | Watch list | $(59,302,239)$ |
| 2 | Restructured / Reschedule | - |
| 3 | Sub - Standard | $(91,419,548)$ |
| 4 | Doubtful | $(489,458,219)$ |
| 5 | Loss | $1,409,964,268$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{7 7 7 , 6 0 8 , 1 8 8}$ |

## j. Segregation of investment portfolio

| S.N. | Investment category | Current Year |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Held for trading | $31,520,830$ |
| 2 | Held to maturity | $61,946,817,787$ |
| 3 | Available for sale | $2,240,719,849$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{6 4 , 2 1 9 , 0 5 8 , 4 6 6}$ |

## Bank's internal approach to assess capital adequacy

Bank's management regularly reviews Bank's capital adequacy. Plans and budgets are prepared on the basis of current and projected capital adequacy. Bank's plan and investment decision is based on at what level of capital adequacy it wants to remain at.

## Risk Management Function

## Risk Assessment/Mitigation Practices at Kumari Bank Limited

Considering the need to establish effective Risk Management and Risk Mitigation practices at Kumari Bank Ltd, we have developed a system of continuous improvement of processes wherein each member of the Bank works towards balancing profitability with prudence. The system encompasses all banking functions from client interface, to back office operation, to the strategic decision formulated by the management committees and the Board of Directors. Each area has its own check and balance procedure to assess and mitigate risks involved. The practices thus observed are as follows:

## KBL Organization Structure

The bank's lending approval authority is divided into two distinct units, namely Business and Risk Management. While the Business Unit concentrates more on optimum utility of assets, every lending decision of this Unit is re-assessed and revaluated by the Risk Management Unit for final approval. The Risk Management Unit applies its objective judgment on risk variables deemed appropriate in each instance of lending decision. For this purpose, the Risk Management Unit has two distinct subunits, the Risk Approval Department, which facilities final lending decision after duly adjusting risks as mitigated to an acceptable level, and the Credit Administration and Control Department, which evaluates the endorsed paperwork prior to actual sanction, and also after it.

Depending upon the volume of loans and the nature of risk associated, lending decision are subject to validation and approval by various levels of the hierarchy, in which some lending decision are to be approved by the General Manager, and other by even the Board of Directors as each case may require.

## Risk Measurement Criteria and Mitigation Process

Credit risks are evaluated from the initial customer interface on an array of risk variables by the Credit Policy Guidelines of the Bank, as well as on the individual intuition of experienced officers. As proposals are escalated for approval, judgmental and analytical criteria become broader and more conceptual.

Kumari Bank Ltd. uses the best practices in banking, to make its operation secure through a system of procedural crosschecking mechanism in each operational transaction. An Internal Audit Department, which also doubles as the Concurrent Audit Department system, continuously functions to alert bank personnel to the meticulousness required in handling operations in every functional department. A credit monitoring system is well established in the Bank, which periodically checks on credit quality, compliance, and level of risk exposure. This practice has created a continuous learning and improvement environment, and the Bank's efficiency goal has been to move towards the most prudent practices in the industry.

Types of eligible credit risk mitigants used and the benefits availed under CRM -

| Particular | Eligible CRM |
| :--- | ---: |
| Deposit with Bank \& Cash Margin \& Gold | $3,159,508,349$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 1 5 9 , 5 0 8 , 3 4 9}$ |

